

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/646,177	08/22/2003	David M. Bohonnon	102404-200	9089
27267 7590 10/18/2007 WIGGIN AND DANA LLP ATTENTION: PATENT DOCKETING			EXAMINER	
			CARTER, CANDICE D	
ONE CENTURY TOWER, P.O. BOX 1832 NEW HAVEN, CT 06508-1832		32	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		4127	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/18/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
,	10/646,177	BOHONNON, DAVID M.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Candice D. Carter	4127
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>8/22/</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or		
Application Papers		•
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 8/22/2003 is/are: a)☒ a Applicant may not request that any objection to the a Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	accepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is objected.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/26/2004, 5/24/2004.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite

Application/Control Number: 10/646,177 Page 2

Art Unit: 4127

DETAILED ACTION

1. This communication is a First Action Non-Final on the merits. Claims 1-8, as originally filed, are currently pending and have been considered below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-8 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pragelas et al. (US 2002/0095308) in view of Barts et al. (US 2002/0082893).

As per claim 1, Pragelas discloses "A system for tracking and monitoring a transaction", (pg 1, col. 1, ¶ 1; via facilitating and monitoring shipping transactions and tracking the location of vehicles utilized therefor), "the system comprising:

at least one client device operable by at least one of a plurality of users of the system" (pg. 3, col. 2, ¶ 31; via terminal allowing a user to access the central server. Where the terminal can be a personal computer, which is the client device);

"at least one centralized data store for storing information that includes data [pertaining to the shipment], the plurality of users of the system, and the transaction, the transaction data including a plurality of activities and documents for completing the transaction" (pg. 2 col. 1, ¶ 11; via central site including a database, that database including a sending party record, a receiving party record, and a shipping party record,

the central site capable of collecting information regarding a load of goods, making available the location information and bill of lading for access by at least one of said parties via the electronic network. Where the sending party, receiving party and shipping party record includes data about the plurality of users and the rest of the information available is the transaction data including the plurality of activities and documents); "and

a controller, operatively coupling the at least one client device and the at least one centralized data store" (pg. 4, col. 2, ¶ 37; via allowing communications, data display, and data entry between a remote client site and a central server via a website or other interface generated by a central server), the controller selectively providing access to information stored in the data store for tracking and monitoring the transaction and the activities performed and documents created, reviewed and completed by parties to the transaction" (pg. 4, col. 2, ¶ 38; via using the central server website an account exists in the user accounts. The account includes user ID, password, and user profile information. To access and communicate interactively with the central server a party uses its user ID and password to log onto the website. The use of user accounts within the system suggests that the controller is selectively providing access to certain information to only those users that have accounts).

Pragelas et al., however, fails to disclose the fact that the transaction includes a specific "object of value". Barts et al. discloses an "object of value" (abstract via vehicles). Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the pertinent art at the time the invention was made to modify the method for facilitating

Application/Control Number: 10/646,177

Art Unit: 4127

shipment transaction creation and monitoring of Pragelas et al. to include the delivery system and method for vehicles as taught by Barts. This is because the shipping transaction could involve the shipping of any object and it is well known that vehicles are often shipped from manufacturer to dealer, dealer to dealer, dealer to customer, etc.

As per claim 2, Pragelas discloses all of the elements of the claimed invention but fails to disclose "the object of value is comprised of one of a yacht, boat, ship, marine vessel, aircraft, motor vehicle, other transportation vehicles and real estate for personal, commercial and/or recreational use". Barts et al. discloses "the object of value is comprised of one of a yacht, boat, ship, marine vessel, aircraft, motor vehicle, other transportation vehicles and real estate for personal, commercial and/or recreational use" (abstract via vehicles). Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the pertinent art at the time the invention was made to modify the method for facilitating shipment transaction creation and monitoring of Pragelas et al. to include delivery system and method for vehicles as taught by Barts. This is because the shipping transaction could involve the shipping of any object and it is well known that vehicles are often shipped from manufacturer to dealer, dealer to dealer, dealer to customer, etc.

As per claim 3, Pragelas et al. further discloses "a user interface providing a graphical representation of the transaction and the plurality of activities and documents" (pg. 3, col. 1, ¶ 26; via a web server connected to or included in the central server, provides a user interface, preferably based on a web site. Where the web site is the graphical representation. And via central server includes one or more databases storing

Application/Control Number: 10/646,177

Art Unit: 4127

a plurality of user records or accounts, transaction information, and other information of interest.)

As per claim 4, Pragelas et al. further discloses, "the graphical representation further includes information regarding a current status of the activities and documents" (pg. 2, col.1, ¶ 12; via permitting said party in interest to have access, via the central site, to information concerning the location of the vehicle, to the status of collection of said pick up notification, and to the status of the collection of said proof of delivery information).

As per claim 5, Pragelas et al. further discloses, "the status includes one of pending, closed, and aborted" (pg. 3, col. 1, ¶ 2; via electronically notifying a storage facility of a sending party's instruction that an outgoing load be released from the facility for the delivery; electronically notifying a carrier that the load is available for pickup. Where both of these notifications suggests a "pending" status of delivery).

As per claim 6, Pragelas et al. further discloses "the controller further includes a notification engine providing messages to the parties to the transaction corresponding to the activities" (pg. 5, col. 1, ¶ 44; via the notification can be, for example, via e-mail or via pop-up message at the party's terminal or vehicle terminal. Where the messages pertain to the transaction).

As per claim 7, Pragelas et al. further discloses, "interface between the controller and an external data source including information related to the object of value" (pg. 4, col. 1, ¶ 36; via preferably during operation, the vehicle terminal displays data and allows the input of data which is transmitted to and received from the central server via

Art Unit: 4127

the vehicle processor. Where the vehicle terminal is the external data source and the central server is the controller.)

As per claim 8, Pragelas et al. discloses all of the elements of the claimed invention but fails to disclose "the plurality of users includes at least one of a dealer or broker, an escrow agent, a documentation agent, a buyer or seller of the object, a manufacturer or builder of the object, a wholesale finance or floor plan provider, a retail bank or financial institution, an insurance underwriter, agent or broker, a marine surveyor 10 and a system administrator or closing coordinator". Barts et al. discloses "the plurality of users includes at least one of a dealer or broker, an escrow agent, a documentation agent, a buyer or seller of the object, a manufacturer or builder of the object, a wholesale finance or floor plan provider, a retail bank or financial institution, an insurance underwriter, agent or broker, a marine surveyor 10 and a system administrator or closing coordinator" (abstract via managers of a manufacturing plant).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the pertinent art at the time the invention was made to modify the method for facilitating shipment transaction creation and monitoring of Pragelas et al. to include the vehicle delivery system as taught by Barts et al. This is because the shipping transaction could involve the shipping of any object and it is well known that vehicles are often shipped from manufacturer to dealer, dealer to dealer, dealer to customer, etc.

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Broerman (6,594,633) discloses a real estate computer network that tracks the real estate transaction. Green et al. (6,041,310) discloses a method and system for automobile transactions. Fletcher (US 2002/0059390) discloses an integration messaging system for creating and processing customized business transactions. Rose, Jr. (6,076,064) discloses a uniform system for verifying and tracking the title of articles or objects of value. Halpern (5,960,410) discloses a device and method for object-based development of business applications software. Fai et al. (US 2003/0046639) discloses method and systems for facilitating creation presentation, exchange, and management of documents to facilitate business transactions. Johnson (5,615,342) discloses an electronic proposal preparation system.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Candice D. Carter whose telephone number is (571) 270-5105. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (7:30-5:00) with First Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynda Jasmin can be reached on (572) 272-3033. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/646,177

Art Unit: 4127

Page 8

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

CDC

SUPERVISORY PATENT FXAMINER